



**National Center for Medical Home Implementation (NCMHI)
 Innovative and Promising Practices for Medical Home Implementation
 Submission Review Score Sheet**

Submission ID:
 Date of submission:

NCMHI Staff Reviewer ID and Date:
 Oversight Workgroup Reviewer ID and Date:

Review Criteria	Score and Description	Score	Reviewer Comments
Part One: Is it medical home?			
1a. Project implemented one or more of the specified core tenets of a medical home ¹	0 = No core tenets implemented 1 = One to three core tenets implemented 2 = Four or more core tenets implemented		
1b. Project was implemented in the United States <u>AND</u> targets a pediatric population.	0 = No 1 = Yes		
Part One Subtotal =	Sum lines 1a and 1b. If Part One Subtotal is less than 2, STOP HERE; no further review is necessary.		
Part Two: Can it be replicated?			
2a. Clearly describes how the project is expected to achieve its goals using appropriate references	0 = Applicant did not describe how the project is expected to achieve its goals; OR 0 = Applicant described how the project is expected to achieve its goals but did not cite any references ² . 1 = Applicant described how the project is expected to achieve its goals and cited references to only non-		

¹ Core tenets of medical home as listed on application include: accessible care, care coordination, cultural competency, team-based care, comprehensive care, continuous care, and family-centered care.

² References are defined as outside sources of information used to support the rationale for how the project is expected to achieve its goals.

Last Updated October 2016

	<p>scholarly³ sources (eg opinions, blogs, webinars, websites, newsletters, magazines, and other sources that do not involve the process of peer review).</p> <p>2 = Applicant described how the project is expected to achieve its goals and cited one publication from a scholarly source.⁴</p> <p>3 = Applicant described how the project is expected to achieve its goals and cited two publications from scholarly sources.⁵</p> <p>4 = Applicant described how the project is expected to achieve its goals and cited three publications from scholarly sources.⁶</p>		
2b. Clearly describes the important/essential activities that were necessary to accomplish the project goals/outcomes and how they were executed.	<p>0 = No project activities listed in table</p> <p>1 = Project activities are listed in table but one or more descriptions are incomplete</p> <p>2 = Project activities are listed in table with complete, clear, descriptions</p>		
2c. Project results are supported by evidence.	<p>0 = No results listed on table; OR</p> <p>0 = Results listed on table but no description of measurement or evaluation methods are included.</p> <p>1 = Results listed on table, measurement and evaluation described, evaluation methods did not include a control group.⁷</p> <p>2 = Results listed on table, measurement and evaluation described, evaluation methods included a control group.⁸</p>		

³ Scholarly sources are also known as peer-reviewed, academic, or refereed sources. Scholarly sources involve a process known as peer review by which an author's manuscript is submitted to a panel of experts in the field for review and comment. These reviewers must agree that the manuscript represents properly conducted, original research or writing before it can be published. Some examples of scholarly sources include journal articles from *Pediatrics* and the *American Journal of Public Health*.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ A control group is a group of participants who do not receive the project services and who have similar characteristics to the group who receive the project services. Program results are then observed and compared for the two groups to assess whether receiving the program caused the results.

⁸ Ibid.

2d. Project incorporated information obtained through needs assessments, family feedback, or other input.	0 = No, needs assessment, family feedback, or other input was not incorporated. 1 = Yes, program incorporated needs assessment, family feedback, or other input.		
Part Two Subtotal =	Sum lines 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d.		
Part Three: Is it Relevant to NCMHI?			
3a. Results are aligned with outcomes included in the NCMHI logic model. Please refer to NCMHI logic model handout.	0 = Results do not include any outcomes from the NCMHI logic model. 1 = Results include <u>ONLY</u> short-term outcomes from the NCMHI logic model. 2 = Results include intermediate and/or long-term outcomes from the NCMHI logic model.		
Total Score =	Sum Part 1 Subtotal + Part 2 Subtotal + Part 3		

Lowest acceptable score for consideration to be published on NCMHI Web site = 9. Highest possible score = 14.

Reviewer’s Final Recommendation:

I recommend this submission be posted to the website.

I do not recommend this submission be posted to the website. Please explain why you do not recommend this submission in the space below:

Other comments (optional):